

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,738	10/656,738 09/05/2003		Joshua Lynn Scott	702_092	9086
20874	7590	01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		& BILINSKI	OMGBA, ESSAMA		
101 SOUTH SUITE 400	SALINA	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SYRACUSE	E, NY 13	3202	3726		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,738	SCOTT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Essama Omgba	3726
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 D</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) □ Claim(s) 1-5,9,11-16,25,26 and 29-32 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2,9,11-14,25,26 and 29-32 is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) 3-5 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTC.413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/656,738 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 7 and 11 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Yohn (US Patent 4,701,004). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow. The finality of the last Office action is hereby withdrawn as a consequence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 25, 26 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 25 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: there are no structural relationship between the tip tool and the endoscope apparatus. Although the claims include a recitation of an intended use (an opening to receive said endoscope tip), however this does not amount to a structural relationship. It appears that Applicant is trying to claim an endoscope apparatus claimed in combination with a tip tool and as such the claims should include a structural relationship between the endoscope apparatus and the tip tool.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,738 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2, 9, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yohn (US Patent 4,701,004).

With regards to claim 1, Yohn discloses a tool comprising a tool body 80 capable of fitting over a portion of a tip and a tip holding element comprising compressible arms 82 disposed in contact with and cantilevered from the tool body, the cantilevered arms capable of releasably engaging the tip, see column 2, lines 25-36, column 4, lines 33-35 and figure 5. Applicant should note that the recitation of the intended use of the tool has not been given any patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

For claims 2 and 11, the arms 82 are disposed within the tool body as shown in figure 5 and are comprised of the same material as the tool body.

For claim 12, see column 3, lines 26-29 and column 4, lines 34-35...

For claim 13, the tool of Yohn is capable of storing a tip in the socket opening.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yohn.

With regards to claim 9, Yohn discloses a tool as shown above except for the tool comprising a polymer, however it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to select appropriate materials suitable for tool use. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have made the tool of Yohn such that it comprises a polymer as is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.

For claim 14, the tool of Yohn is capable of accommodating identifying indicia on the tool body.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 15 and 16 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Claims 25, 26 and 29-32 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2, 9 and 11-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Jimenez can be reached on (571) 272-4530. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Essama Omgba Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3726

eo January 5, 2006